

I am extremely concerned about Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. This is a clear example of Sinclair's abuse of the power it obtained as a result of media consolidation.

Sinclair is disingenuous in asserting that the anti-Kerry documentary is "news" and that it therefore justifies pre-emption of regular programming. Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. Sinclair is not serving the public interest by using the airwaves to promote his personal agenda.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. I urge you to consider Sinclair's actions when his licenses are up for renewal. Thank you.